UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,852	06/22/2006	Juha-Pekka Luoma	915-002.003	5013
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, PO BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER	
			RAHMAN, MOHAMMAD N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,852	LUOMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		1010117 (1011017 (B 14: 10 (11107) (14	2101
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED <u>17 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
have t under set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	filed within two months of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water than the NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. 🔲	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially rec	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		
4. ∐	•		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. _ 6. _	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		imely filed amendment canceling the
o. ∟ 7. ⊠	non-allowable claim(s).	·	•
, . <u>C</u> 3	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		i be entered and an explanation of
	Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,7,9,10,13,15,17,18,21-23,25-29,3</u>	31,34,42-45,53-55,58-61,64,65 and	<u>75-77</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. 🔲	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ll and/or appellant fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛭	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/Khanh B. Pham/	
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2166

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's response has been overcome the objection to the specification and 35 USC 101 rejection to the claims. However, Applicant's arguments filed October 17, 2008 have been fully considerer but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicants' argument.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 102 (e)

Regarding claim 1, applicant's argument on page 6 stated, "Slaughter does not describe using session announcements using Session Announcement Protocol (SAP) or Session Description Protocol (SDP) as identified in the present application (see, for example, page 20, lines 22-33). In fact, Slaughter does not describe any form of a session which is transmitted through a network such as, for example, an IP stream transmitted at a given time." On the contrary Examiner pointing out that "Session Announcement Protocol (SAP)" is not included in claim 1 but it is described in claim 17, which is rejected by the 35 USC § 103 (a) rejection. In fact, Reynolds teaches, "Transport type B include three related data sources: announcements (which can be delivered via the session announcement protocol (SAP)", which clearly describes about the function of the session announcement protocol. Nevertheless, about "a session which is transmitted through a network", Slaughter teaches, "distributed computing environment may use the Multicast Announcement Protocol (multicast UDP) to announce themselves on a LAN" at col. 41 and lines 19-23, thus, the announcement contains the description of the one or more sessions which is being transmitted through a network.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103 (a)

Claims 17, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slaughter et al. (U.S. Application Patent No. 7,080,078 B1) in view of Reynolds et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2001/0037500).

In light of the foregoing arguments the 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) and 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) rejections are hereby sustained.